

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 34804

STATE OF IDAHO,	)	2008 Unpublished Opinion No. 519
	)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,	)	Filed: June 23, 2008
	)	
v.	)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
	)	
LORAN R. SCHWENSON,	)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
	)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
	)	

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Twin Falls County. Hon. G. Richard Bevan, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and suspended unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for felony driving under the influence, affirmed.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Sara B. Thomas, Chief, Appellate Unit, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

PER CURIAM

Loran R. Schwenson pled guilty to felony driving under the influence. I.C. §§ 18-8004, 18-8005(5). The district court sentenced Schwenson to a unified term of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years. The district court also suspended Schwenson's driver's license for three years. However, the district court retained jurisdiction and, following successful completion of his rider, Schwenson's prison sentence was suspended. Schwenson appeals, challenging the length of his driver's license suspension.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing

the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Schwenson's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.